**Original Article** 

### Relationship between Educational Environment and Self-Directed Learning in Nursing Student in COVID-19 Pandemic

Mahnaz Bahrami<sup>1</sup>, Hakimeh Sabeghi<sup>2</sup>, Mona Zohourparvaz<sup>3</sup>, Hossein Karimi Moonaghi<sup>4</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> PhD Candidate, Dept. of Medical Education, Virtual University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; Dept. of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Birjand University of Medical Sciences, Birjand, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant professor, Dept. of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Birjand University of Medical Sciences, Birjand, Iran.

<sup>3</sup>MSC, Dept. of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Birjand University of Medical Sciences, Birjand, Iran.

<sup>4</sup> Professor, Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran; Dept. of Medical Surgical Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery & Dept. of Medical Education, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

#### **Article Info**

Article history:

Keywords:

COVID-19

Nursing,

Students

Received 01 May 2022 Accepted 06 Jaly 2022

Published 2 August 2022

**Educational Environment** 

Self-Directed Learning

\*Corresponding author: Nursing and Midwifery Care Research

Center Mashhad University of

Midwifery & Dept. of Medical

Education, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. Email: karimih@mums.ac.ir

School of Nursing and

Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran:

Dept. of Medical Surgical Nursing.

doi

### Abstract

**Background & Objective:** The COVID-19 pandemic has created a stressful teaching and learning environment that puts it out of its dynamic state and puts most of the learning burden on the student. The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between educational environment and self-directed learning in undergraduate nursing students.

**Materials & Methods:** In this cross-sectional descriptive study, 200 undergraduate nursing students of Birjand School of Nursing and Midwifery from different terms were studied by available sampling method in the year 1401-1400. DREEM and CHENG online questionnaires were used to evaluate the educational environment and self-directed learning, respectively. The questionnaires were analyzed by SPSS20.

**Results:** The mean score of educational environment  $(120.20 \pm 27.20)$  and self-directed learning  $(75.85 \pm 11.73)$  was obtained. The results of this study showed that there is a direct and significant linear relationship between educational environment and all its dimensions with self-directed learning and all its dimensions (p <0.05). Also, the educational environment was significantly associated with gender and interest in the nursing and self-directed learning with interest in the nursing (p <0.05). Multiple linear regression test showed that the dimensions of the educational environment can predict to 23% (adjusted R2 = 0.232) of self-directed learning changes in nursing students.

**Conclusion:** According to the results of the study and the sensitivities of the nursing profession, it is recommended to consider self-directed training programs and workshops and to create an educational environment that stimulates this skill.



Copyright © 2021, This is an original open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-noncommercial 4.0 International License which permit copy and redistribution of the material just in noncommercial usages with proper citation

### Introduction

The educational environment is the most important external manifestation of the curriculum, defining its concept and encompassing everything that takes place in the college. The educational environment is one of the important factors which determine behavior, and in fact, students' behavior is associated with their perception of the educational environment (1). Classes, learning resources, teaching methods, evaluation methods, clinical experiences, clear learning outcomes, academic schedule, access to educational facilities, students' sense of belonging, and support are the building blocks of the academic environment (2).

The educational atmosphere also includes students' general perception of the educational environment of the

university. In other words, the atmosphere is the soul and spirit of the educational environment and curriculum (3), as well as students' feelings towards the educational environment (2). Students' experience of the academic climate governing the university is effective in their success, satisfaction, and achievements (3). According to a study by Bagheri et al. (2017), the educational environment strongly affected the satisfaction and success of students. Therefore, it is essential to receive continuous feedback from students about their perceptions of educational environments (4). The COVID-19 pandemic has created a stressful environment for teachers and students to teach and learn by affecting all areas of human life, including the teaching and learning process. Moreover, it has presented students with such challenges as the lack of face-to-face support and peer-to-peer interactions, as well as rapid upgrading of online technologies (5, 6). This epidemic exerted an unprecedented impact on medical education, especially clinical education, which has undergone extensive changes since the emergence of the epidemic disease (7). Due to the deadly threat of the spread of the virus, universities have switched to remote online learning.

Although distance learning methods are no longer unknown, their unanticipated implementation with little preparation often leads to serious problems (8). Therefore, it is necessary to study the extensive consequences of this issue (6). Nonetheless, the impact of Covid-19 on medical education has been less investigated. The pandemic conditions have posed daunting challenges to the professors of medical sciences due to the practical and clinical nature of many courses at all academic levels (9). Health professions education is beyond the acquisition of knowledge and skills since it also includes learning in common clinical work environments. Face-to-face learning in authentic clinical settings can help build self-confidence, improve communication skills, and strengthen team-building.

The COVID-19 pandemic has potentially created major barriers to these aspects of teaching and learning (5). Currently, students in various fields of medicine are struggling with drastic changes made to the educational environment. In this era, the role of individuals in learning has assumed particular importance (6) since the dominant educational approach in this era is online learning and reliance on self-directed learning. Nonetheless, switching to an online educational environment does not always reduce the academic performance of students.

This problem can help the development of intellectual processes related to student-centered learning and promote peer-to-peer learning (9). Therefore, nowadays, the acquisition of necessary skills for self-directed learning is one of the ultimate goals of educational curricula. Moreover, the transformation of "teaching" into "learning" is considered necessary, and education based on the mere transfer of content and topics is no longer suitable for the present and the future; instead, what is most needed is "learning how to learn." Therefore, due to the necessity of preparing students for lifelong learning, the theory of self-directed learning is increasingly proposed as a requirement in medical education, especially nursing education (10).

The conditions of the Covid-19 pandemic have severely affected the educational environment, jeopardizing its dynamism and imposing the greatest burden of learning on students. In this era, self-directed learning is a special advantage, especially for students. Self-directed learning is a method in which the ideation, design, implementation, and evaluation of a learning project is guided by the learner. Nonetheless, it is not suggested that in this method, learning is completely individual, rather the students are responsible for their own learning even when participating in a group learning activity (11).

The acquisition of this skill, especially during the student period, depends on various factors, such as the educational environment. In other words, the atmosphere governing the educational environment is the determining factor in fostering student motivation to learn (12). The educational environment is known to be the most crucial factor in shaping students' learning experiences (4). Assiduous attention has been recently devoted to the impact of the educational environment on students' approaches to learning, and quality is known as a reliable indicator of the effectiveness of educational programs (12).

The learning environment is a behavioral determinant, indicating students' perception of their surrounding learning environment (13). The educational environment is affected by various factors, including well-defined learning outcomes, teacher competencies, learning and teaching resources, assessment methods, timetables, student support, facilities, classrooms, group size, atmosphere, and many other issues (12). In this regard, the educational disruption resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic highlights the importance of selfdirected learning. The flexibility of online courses has increased the responsibility of learners for self-direction and self-determination.

Online learning, which makes use of computer-based interaction as a primary learning medium, is face-to-face radically different from learning environments. The experience of online learning in an unfamiliar environment challenges learners' coping resources and adaptability (14). Therefore, considering the recent developments in education in the current pandemic situation and an urgent need to prepare students for responsible learning, it seems necessary to examine the relationship between the educational environment and self-directed learning. Moreover, with the shift of focus toward virtual education and increased emphasis on self-directed learning, the present study aimed to determine the relationship between the educational environment and self-directed learning in undergraduate nursing students at the Birjand School of Nursing and Midwifery during the COVID-19 pandemic.

### **Material & Methods**

In this descriptive cross-sectional correlational study, the statistical population consisted of undergraduate nursing students of Birjand University of Medical Sciences, who were selected via convenience sampling. The sample size was determined based on a study by Lastefo et al. (15) and the formula of correlation

$$n = \frac{\left(z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + z_{1-\beta}\right)^2}{\omega^2} + 3 = 188$$
, with the

following parameters:

$$z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = 0^{\omega^2/81} z_{1-\beta} = /96 = 0/041$$

Accordingly, the sample size was calculated at 188 subjects and increased to 218 cases taking into account the probability of 10% sample attrition. After the removal of incomplete questionnaires, 200 participants were studied. First to fourth-year nursing students who were willing to complete the questionnaire and participate in the study were included in the study. On the other hand, those with incomplete questionnaires were excluded from the study. To implement this study, after the approval of the proposal and obtaining the code of ethics (IR.VUMS.REC.1400.047) from the Virtual University of Medical Sciences, participants completed the Dundee Ready Educational Environment Measure (DREEM) questionnaire and Self-Directed Learning Readiness Scale (SDLRS) by Cheng et al. online after signing the written informed consent. After completion, incomplete questionnaires were removed. All the questionnaires were distributed and collected by one of the members of the research team.

The research instruments included the demographic characteristics form (age, gender, marital status, grade point average, academic semester, being native, living in a dormitory, interest in the field of study, and skill in using IT technologies), as well as DREEM and SDLRS questionnaires. The DREEM questionnaire, which was adjusted and modified according to the pandemic conditions and virtual education, was used to examine the educational environment. It includes five subscales of students' perception of learning, students' perception of teachers, students' academic self-perceptions, students' perception.

The scores in this questionnaire range from 0-200. Each item is measured using a 5-point Likert scale: 0=strongly disagrees, 1=disagree, 2=neither agree or disagree, 3=agree, and 4=strongly agree. Items 4, 8, 9,

17, 25, 35, 39, 48, and 50 are negatively worded. The validity and reliability of this questionnaire have been confirmed in the study by Soltani Arabshahi (4, 13).

The SDLRS by Cheng et al., which was adjusted and modified according to the conditions of the pandemic, was used to assess self-directed learning. It includes four dimensions of learning motivation (6 items), planning and implementation (6 items), self-monitoring (4 items), and interpersonal communication (4 items). The items are rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1=completely disagree to 5=completely agree), and the scores range from 20-100. A higher score indicates a greater ability in self-directed learning. The validity of this questionnaire was confirmed in a study by Shen et al., and its reliability was confirmed, rendering Cronbach's alpha coefficients of 0.85 and 0.821-0.708 for the entire questionnaire and its dimensions, respectively (16).

The questionnaire link was sent to different groups of students, and they were completed online as a self-report within two months. Finally, the completed questionnaires were entered into SPSS (version 20) and analyzed. Descriptive statistics (frequency distribution, mean, standard deviation) were used to describe and categorize the data, while inferential statistics were used to test the hypothesis. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the normal distribution of data.

To determine the relationship of the educational environment and its dimensions with self-directed learning and its dimensions, Pearson's correlation test (in a normal distribution) or Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient (in non-normal distribution) was used. Spearman or independent t-test was used to investigate the relationship between demographic variables and main variables, Mann-Whitney U-test was used for quantitative variables with non-normal distribution. In all performed tests, a confidence level of 95% and a significance level of 0.050 were considered.

### Results

The majority of students were female (61.5%), single (80.5%), non-native (53.0%), dormitory residents (54.5%), interested in nursing (83.0%), and moderately skilled in using IT (55.0%).

The mean scores of age and grade point average of the students were  $20.97\pm1.83$  and  $16.60\pm1.33$  years, respectively (Table 1).

| variables             |                 | Frequency | Percentage |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|--|
| Sex                   | Female          | 123       | 61.5       |  |
|                       | Male            | 77        | 38.5       |  |
| Marital Status        | Single          | 161       | 80.5       |  |
|                       | Married         | 39        | 19.5       |  |
| Semester              | First semester  | 47        | 23.5       |  |
|                       | Second Semester | 52        | 26.0       |  |
|                       | Third semester  | 54        | 27.0       |  |
|                       | Fourth Semester | 47        | 23.5       |  |
| Native                | Yes             | 94        | 47.0       |  |
|                       | No              | 106       | 53.0       |  |
| Living in a dormitory | Yes             | 109       | 54.5       |  |
|                       | No              | 91        | 45.5       |  |
| Interest in nursing   | Yes             | 166       | 83.0       |  |
| _                     | No              | 34        | 17.0       |  |
| Skill in using IT     | Very much       | 18        | 9.0        |  |
| C C                   | Much            | 58        | 29.0       |  |
|                       | Medium          | 110       | 55.0       |  |
|                       | little          | 11        | 5.5        |  |
|                       | Very little     | 3         | 1.5        |  |
|                       | SD±Mean         | Maximum   | Minimum    |  |
| Age                   | 1.83±20.97      | 30        | 19         |  |
| Average               | 1.33±16.60      | 20        | 13         |  |
|                       |                 |           |            |  |

### Table 1. Descriptive information of the variables related to the personal characteristics of nursing students of the Nursing and Midwifery school in Birjand

The mean score obtained from the DREEM questionnaire by undergraduate nursing students was  $120.20\pm27.20$ . The highest and lowest mean scores among the components of the educational environment pertained to students' social perception ( $16.76\pm3.90$ ) and students' perception of learning ( $26.64\pm7.95$ ).

students' perception of learning  $(26.64\pm7.95)$ . The mean score of self-directed learning in undergraduate nursing students was  $75.85\pm11.73$ , and

the highest and lowest mean scores among the dimensions of self-directed learning according were related to interpersonal communication  $(15.47\pm2.80)$  and planning and implementation  $(21.51\pm4.66)$  (Table 2). Moreover, most of them estimated the educational environment as somewhat favorable (39.5%) and favorable (49.5%) (Table 3).

### Table 2. Descriptive indicators related to the educational environment and self-directed learning and its dimensions in undergraduate nursing of the Nursing and Midwifery school in Birjand

| variables               |                            | <b>SD</b> ±Mean | Maximum | Minimum | Range of scores |  |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|-----------------|--|
| educational environment |                            | 27.20±120.20    | 182     | 33      | 0-200           |  |
| dimensions              | Perceptions of learning    | 7.95±26.64      | 44      | 4       | 0-48            |  |
|                         | Perceptions of teaching    | 7.35±28.77      | 44      | 2       | 0-44            |  |
|                         | Academic self-perceptions  | 5.51±18.92      | 32      | 4       | 0-32            |  |
|                         | Perceptions of atmosphere  | 7.47±29.10      | 43      | 6       | 0-48            |  |
| Social self-perceptions |                            | 3.90±16.76      | 25      | 5       | 0-28            |  |
| self-directed learning  |                            | 11.73±75.85     | 100     | 25      | 20-100          |  |
| dimensions              | learning motivation        | 3.42±23.47      | 30      | 6       | 6-30            |  |
|                         | Plan and execution         | 466±21.51       | 30      | 7       | 6-30            |  |
|                         | Self-monitoring            | 2.92±15.39      | 20      | 4       | 4-20            |  |
|                         | Interpersonal relationship | 2.80±15.47      | 20      | 5       | 4-20            |  |
|                         |                            |                 |         |         |                 |  |

## Table 3. Classification of educational environment scores in undergraduate nursing students of the Nursing and Midwifery school in Birjand

| variables               | Frequency | Percentage |  |  |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| educational environment |           |            |  |  |
| Undesirable             | 3         | 1.5        |  |  |
| semi desirable          | 9         | 4.5        |  |  |
| somewhat desirable      | 79        | 39.5       |  |  |
| Desirable               | 99        | 49.5       |  |  |
| very desirable          | 10        | 5.0        |  |  |

The results of the Spearman correlation test pointed out that the total score of self-directed learning showed a significant direct linear with the total score of the educational environment and all its dimensions (P<0.001). There was also a significant direct linear relationship among all the dimensions of the educational environment (P<0.001) (Table 4).

| Table 4. The relationship between the total score of self-directed learning and the total score and |
|---|
| dimensions of the educational environment in undergraduate nursing students of the Nursing and      |
| Midwifery school in Birjand   |

| variables                 | educational<br>environment | Perceptions of learning | Perceptions of teaching | Academic<br>self-<br>perceptions | Perceptions<br>of<br>atmosphere | Social<br>self-<br>perceptions |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| educational environment   | 1                          |                         |                         |                                  |                                 |                                |
| Perceptions of learning   | r = 0.882<br>p<0.001       | 1                       |                         |                                  |                                 |                                |
| Perceptions of teaching   | r=0.787<br>p<0.001         | r=0.610<br>p<0.001      | 1                       |                                  |                                 |                                |
| Academic self-perceptions | r=0.858<br>p<0.001         | r=0.716<br>p<0.001      | r=0.551<br>p<0.001      | 1                                |                                 |                                |
| Perceptions of atmosphere | r=0.868<br>p<0.001         | r=0.720<br>p<0.001      | r=0.611<br>p<0.001      | r=0.710<br>p<0.001               | 1                               |                                |
| Social self-perceptions   | r=0.645<br>p<0.001         | r=0.469<br>p<0.001      | r=0.373<br>p<0.001      | r=0.596<br>p<0.001               | r=0.517<br>p<0.001              | 1                              |
| self-directed learning    | r=0.417<br>p<0.001         | r=0.254<br>p<0.001      | r=0.329<br>p<0.001      | r=0.477<br>p<0.001               | r=0.372<br>p<0.001              | r=0.365<br>p<0.001             |

The results of the Spearman correlation test pointed out that the total score of the educational environment demonstrated a significant direct linear relationship with the total score of self-directed learning and all its dimensions (P<0.001). There was also a significant direct linear relationship among all the dimensions of self-directed learning (P<0.001) (Table 5).

### Table 5. The relationship between the total score of the educational environment and the total score and dimensions of self-directed learning in undergraduate nursing students of the Nursing and Midwifery school in Birjand

| variables                  | self-directed<br>learning | Learning<br>motivation | Plan and<br>execution | Self-<br>monitoring | Interpersonal relationship |  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Self-directed learning     | 1                         |                        |                       |                     |                            |  |
| Learning motivation        | r= 0.762<br>p<0.001       | 1                      |                       |                     |                            |  |
| Plan and execution         | r=0.897<br>p<0.001        | r=0.560<br>p<0.001     | 1                     |                     |                            |  |
| Self-monitoring            | r=0.788<br>p<0.001        | r=0.570<br>p<0.001     | r=0.678<br>p<0.001    | 1                   |                            |  |
| Interpersonal relationship | r=0.241<br>p<0.001        | r=0.442<br>p<0.001     | r=0.565<br>p<0.001    | r=0.509<br>p<0.001  | 1                          |  |
| Educational environment    | r=0.417<br>p<0.001        | r=0.422<br>p<0.001     | r=0.349<br>p<0.001    | r=0.329<br>p<0.001  | r=0.252<br>p<0.001         |  |

According to the multiple linear regression test, if the other variables are constant, for every one-unit increase in students' perception of learning, the self-directed learning score decreased by 0.470. In addition, for every one-unit increase in students' perception of their academic ability, the score of self-directed learning increased by 0.941.

Among the predictive variables, the students' perception of their academic ability has had the greatest effect on self-directed learning in undergraduate nursing students. Furthermore, the components of the educational environment can predict up to 23% (adjusted  $R^2 = 0.232$ ) of changes in self-directed learning in undergraduate nursing students (Table 6).

| Predictive variables    | Unstandardized<br>B | Standard<br>Error | Standardized<br>β | t     | p-<br>value | R     | $\mathbb{R}^2$ | Adjusted<br>R <sup>2</sup> |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------|----------------|----------------------------|
| constant                | 53.48               | 3.65              | -                 | 14.61 | < 0.001     |       |                |                            |
| Perceptions of          | -0.470              | 0.158             | -0.319            | -2.97 | 0.003       |       |                |                            |
| learning                |                     |                   |                   |       |             |       |                |                            |
| Perceptions of          | 0.131               | 0.138             | 0.082             | 0.948 | 0.344       | 0.501 | 0.251          | 0.232                      |
| teaching                |                     |                   |                   |       |             |       |                |                            |
| Academic self-          | 0.941               | 0.220             | 0.442             | 4.27  | < 0.001     |       |                |                            |
| perceptions             |                     |                   |                   |       |             |       |                |                            |
| Perceptions of          | 0.210               | 0.174             | 0.134             | 1.21  | 0.228       |       |                |                            |
| atmosphere              |                     |                   |                   |       |             |       |                |                            |
| Social self-perceptions | 0.430               | 0.245             | 0.143             | 1.75  | 0.081       |       |                |                            |

# Table 6. Prediction of self-directed learning based on the components of the educational environment in undergraduate nursing students, using the multiple linear regression models (Enter method)

Based on the results of Spearman's correlation test, the total score of self-directed learning and its dimensions showed no significant direct linear relationship with the age and grade point average of undergraduate nursing students (P<0.05).

The results of the Pearson correlation test illustrated that the total score of the educational environment and the dimension of students' perception of professors displayed a significant inverse linear relationship with the age of undergraduate nursing students (P<0.05). Nonetheless, the other dimensions of the educational environment showed no significant direct or inverse linear relationship with the age and grade point average of undergraduate nursing students (P<0.05). The results of the independent t-test and Mann-Whitney U test showed that the mean scores of self-directed learning and its dimensions did not significantly differ based on gender, marital status, being native, and residing in a dormitory (P < 0.05). However, the mean scores of selfdirected learning, as well as the dimensions of planning, implementation, and self-monitoring, significantly differed based on interest in the field of nursing (P<0.05).

### Discussion

The present research aimed to assess the relationship between the educational environment and self-directed learning in undergraduate nursing students at the Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery of Birjand University of Medical Sciences. Based on the results of this study, the nursing students rated the educational environment as somewhat favorable (39.5%) and favorable (49.5%). In a similar vein, in a study by Bagheri et al. (2018), students rated the educational environment as semifavorable (24.8%) and favorable (63.9%) (4).

The study by Bagheri et al. was conducted before the Covid-19 pandemic; nonetheless, its results were almost

similar to those obtained in the current research. Moreover, the two universities are similar in terms of ranking; therefore, it can be concluded that the pandemic conditions did not have a significant impact on students' perception of the educational environment of the university. The results of the study by Chew et al. (2021) have also indicated that students' perception of the educational environment based on the DREEM tool remained positive during the pandemic (17). In the study by Azizi et al. (2012), 51.1% of pharmacy students evaluated the educational environment as favorable, while 46.6% assessed the educational environment as unfavorable (18).

In the present study, the mean score of the educational environment was 120.20±27.20. Moreover, the highest and lowest mean scores pertained to students' perception of their social environment (16.76±3.90) and students' perception of the learning environment  $(26.64 \pm 7.95)$ . In the study by Azizi et al. (2013), the dimension of students' perceptions of the learning environment obtained a lower score than other dimensions of the educational environment (18). In the study by Arabi (2019) et al., the highest and lowest mean scores were related to the dimension of students' perception of their academic ability (15.7±8.2) and students' perceptions of the atmosphere  $(23.3\pm9.9)$  (19). The stated study was conducted on medical students and in clinical departments (internal medicine, gynecology, pediatrics, and general surgery), and the educational environment was different from that of the present study. Therefore, it is expected that students' perceptions of its various dimensions would be different from that of nursing students in an academic environment.

In the current study, the educational environment and its dimensions showed a significant direct linear relationship with self-directed learning and its dimensions according to the conditions of the Covid-19 pandemic (P>0.05). In a study by Hosseini et al. (2017), the results demonstrated that the creative educational environment with the mediation of learning motivation had a direct and significant effect on the use of selfregulation learning strategies (P>0.01) (20). The study by Hosseini was conducted on female students of Psychology, Social Sciences, and Economics Faculties of Tehran University before the pandemic, and the research tools and data analysis method were utterly different from those of the present study.

It is worth noting that both studies have vielded almost similar results, indicating the relationship between these two variables. Chakkaravarthy et al. (2018) investigated the predictors for nurses and midwives' readiness toward self-directed learning in a systematic review. This systematic review showed that these predictors include personality traits, work environment, online learning, and readiness for self-directed learning. The mentioned denoted that although the demographic study characteristics of nurses and midwives did not affect their readiness for self-directed learning, the work environment affected their readiness for self-directed learning (21). In agreement with the present research, in the referred study, the environment was mentioned as a predictor.

The noteworthy point is the predictive role of online learning in self-directed learning, considering that the study was conducted in 2018, before the start of the pandemic. The current study investigated the effect of a pandemic-based online educational environment on self-directed learning. It seems that the conditions created in the educational environment caused by the pandemic have been effective in this regard. The characteristics of both educational and clinical environments can serve as a stimulus for self-directed learning, which is strengthened and leads to lifelong learning in a good environment.

In the present study, based on the results of the regression analysis, students' perceptions of their academic ability and learning were the predictors of students' self-directed ability. In their study, Song et al. (2007) presented three models or perspectives for selfdirected learning, including personal attitude, process, and context. Personal attitude refers to learners' motivations and ability to accept responsibility for their own learning. Process refers to learners' independent learning processes. The context focuses on environmental factors and their effects on the level of self-directed learning. Various factors in the field of learning can affect learners' self-directed experience (22). Therefore, the results of the present study are in line with different models that have been presented for self-directed learning.

Based on the results of the present study, the total score of the educational environment and the dimension of students' perception of teachers showed a significant inverse linear relationship with the age of students (P<0.05). Nevertheless, the score of the educational environment and its dimensions displayed no significant linear relationship with students' grade point average (P<0.05). In the study by Bagheri et al. (2018), no significant correlation was detected between age and the educational environment (P=0.083); nonetheless, there was a significant direct correlation between grade point average and educational atmosphere (P=0.022) (4).

The results of the present study pointed out that male and female students significantly differ in the dimensions of students' perception of learning and educational atmosphere (P>0.05), and male students obtained higher scores in these dimensions. In a similar vein, in the study by Bagheri et al. (2018), the dimensions of students' perception of learning, students' perception of educational atmosphere, students' social perception, and the overall score of the educational environment significantly differed based on gender, and male students obtained higher mean score (P<0.05) (4).

### Conclusion

As evidenced by the results of this study, there was a direct and significant linear relationship between the educational environment and self-directed learning. Moreover, the pandemic has created requirements and opportunities for the development of E-learning in medical science education. Furthermore, up-to-date knowledge and skills, as well as self-direction, are essential prerequisites for success in nursing education. Therefore, it is recommended that the trustees of nursing education seize this opportunity and make maximum use of the conditions created by the Covid-19 pandemic .

Considering the sensitive nature of this profession, it is recommended to develop self-directed training programs and workshops and change the educational environment in such a way as to motivate students to self-directed learning. In addition, the creation of a creative educational environment and innovative educational methods can be effective in students' selfdirected learning.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Deputyship for Research and Technology of Virtual University of Medical Sciences, as well as the Birjand School of Nursing and Midwifery that paved the way for this study. Finally, we would like to thank all of the students in this study for allocation of their time and cooperation in carrying out this research.

### **Conflict of interest**

There is no conflict of interest in the present study by the author.

### Reference

1. Genn JM. AMEE Medical Education Guide No. 23 (Part 1): Curriculum, environment, climate, quality and change in medical education-a unifying perspective. Medical teacher. 2001;23(4):337-44. doi.org/10.1080/01421590120063330.

2. Dent J, Harden RM, Hunt D. A practical guide for medical teachers. 5th ed, Publisher: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2017. 58-67 p.

3. Genn JM. AMEE Medical Education Guide No. 23 (Part 2): Curriculum, environment, climate, quality and change in medical education - a unifying perspective. Medical teacher. 2001;23(5):445-54. doi.org/10.1080/01421590120075661

4. Bagheri Z, Mohebi S, Adeli SH, Rajati F. Evaluation of Educational Atmosphere and Its Related Factors from the Point of View of the Students of Qom University of Medical Sciences in 2018, (Iran). Qom University of Medical Sciences Journal. 2019;13(1): 39-48.doi: 10.29252/qums.13.1.S1.39

5. Singaram VS, Naidoo KL, Singh S. Self-Directed Learning During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Perspectives of South African Final-Year Health Professions Students. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2022; 13:1-10. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S339840

6. Ferrel MN, Ryan JJ. The impact of COVID-19 on medical education. Cureus. 2020;12(3):e7492. doi:10.7759/cureus.7492

7. Sabeghi H, Afshar L, Foroutan SA, Yazdani S. Medical students' value-rich exposures in clinical setting during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Med Ethics Hist Med. 2020;13(26):1-5. doi: 10.18502/jmehm. v13i26.4957

8. Misra F, Mazelfi I, editors. Long-Distance Online Learning During Pandemic: The Role of Communication, Working in Group, and Self-Directed Learning in Developing Student's Confidence. The 3rd International Conference on Educational Development and Quality Assurance (ICED-QA 2020); 2021: Atlantis Press.

9. Huh S. Reflections as 2020 comes to an end: the editing and educational environment during the COVID-19 pandemic, the power of Scopus and Web of Science in scholarly publishing, journal statistics, and appreciation to reviewers and volunteers. J Educ Eval Health Prof. 2020;17(44):1-7.

doi.org/10.3352/jeehp.2020.17.44

10. Dadgari A, Bagheri I, Salmani N. The effect of flipped education on the Self-directed learning readiness nursing students in the illnesses pediatric nursing lesson. duc Strategy Med Sci. 2020;13(4):287-94.

11. Maclean R, Wilson D. Internatonal handbook of education for the changing world of worke. 1st ed. Netherlands: Springer; 2009.

12. Palés J, Gual A, Escanero J, Tomás I, Rodríguez-de Castro F, Elorduy M, et al. Educational climate perception by preclinical and clinical medical students in five Spanish medical schools. Int J Med Educ. 2015; 6:65-75. doi: 10.5116/ijme.5557.25f9

13. Faghani M, Jouybari L, Sanagoo A, Mansouriyan AR. The perspectives of students about educational climate of Golestan University of Medical Sciences in 2010. J Med Educ Dev. 2012;6(12): 43-50.doi: 20.1001.1.22519521.1392.6.12.6.4

14. Shokri O, Rashidipour F, Sharifi M. Students' Lived Experience of Online Learning during the COVID-19 Disease: An Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis. Quarterly of Applied Psychology. 2020;2(54):123-45.doi: 10.52547/APSY.14.2.123

15. Lasfeto D. The relationship between self-directed learning and students' social interaction in online learning environment. Journal of e-Learning and Knowledge Society. 2020;16(2):34-41. doi.org/10.20368/1971-8829/1135078

16. Shen WQ, Chen HL, Hu Y. The validity and reliability of the self-directed learning instrument (SDLI) in mainland Chinese nursing students. BMC Med Educ. 2014;14(108):1-7. doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-14-108

17. Chew QH, Sim K. Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Undergraduate Psychiatry Teaching, Educational Environment, and Learning Processes. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2021; 12:1371-7. doi: 10.2147/AMEP.S320615

18. Azizi F, Moghaddam SF, Khademloo M, Azizi B. Attitudes of Pharmacy Students to Educational Conditions in Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci (JMUMS). 2013;22(1):249-52.

19. Arabi M, Abolfazli M, Riahi S, Nosrati S, Tajbakhsh R. The Educational Environment of Main Clinical Wards in Educational Hospitals of the Alborz University of Medical Sciences. AUMJ. 2021; 10 (3) :277-284

20. Hosseini AS, Mehdipour Maralani F. Structural Modeling on the relationship between creative education atmosphere, intrinsic motivation and self-regulation strategies in female students of the University of Tehran. Journal of Applied Psychological Research. 2017;8(1): 13-29.doi: 10.22059/JAPR.2017.62603

21. Chakkaravarthy K, Ibrahim N, Mahmud M, Venkatasalu MR. Predictors for nurses and midwives' readiness towards self-directed learning: An integrated review. Nurse Educ Today. 2018; 69:60-6. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2018.06.030.

22. Song L, Hill JR. A conceptual model for understanding self-directed learning in online environments. Journal of Interactive Online Learning. 2007;6(1):27-42.

23. Azmoudeh E, Karimi Moonaghi H. Midwifery Students SelfDirected Learning Readiness. Strides in Development of Medical Education. 2015;12(3):512-24.

24. Ajam A A. The role of self-directed learning readiness and critical thinking disposition in students' interaction in blended learning environment. Iranian Journal of Medical Education. 2015;15(4):215-26.

Bahrami M, Sabeghi H, Zohourparvaz M, Karimi Moonaghi H. Relationship between Educational Environment and Self-Directed Learning in Nursing Student in COVID-19 Pandemic. J Med Educ Dev. 2022; 15 (45): 47-56